UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/541,363	05/01/2006	Bartholomeus Trommelen	P70704US0	1164
JACOBSON HO	7590 03/11/201 OLMAN PLLC	EXAMINER		
400 SEVENTH SUITE 600	STREET N.W.	ARNADE, ELIZABETH		
WASHINGTON	N, DC 20004		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1791	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/11/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/541,363	TROMMELEN ET AL.	
Examiner	A 1 1 ! 4	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	ELIZABETH ARNADE	1791	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>02 February 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperfor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abai it, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; o	which places the r (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(i Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply original.	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FI 36(a) and the appropriate of the fee. The appropriate inally set in the final Office	te extension fee ate extension; or (2) as
set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	-		·
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	s of the date of ∍ appeal. Since a
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beta appeal; and/or	nsideration and/or search (see NO ⁻ w);	TE below);	
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: <u>See continuation sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.11		ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			•
 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) 	·	•	-
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1.2.4-6.9.11.13 and 14. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 7.	rided below or appended.	in be entered and an e	Apanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but See continuation sheet. 	t does NOT place the application ir	n condition for allowan	ce because:
12.	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Steven P. Griffin/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1791	/E. A./ Examiner, Art Unit 1791		

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendment to claim 1 adds the limitation of "an operation other than at the start of the extrusion process" wherein "measured values or information derived from the measured values is made accessible to the computer for a larger number of measuring cycles than a number of measuring cycles recorded by the thickness-measuring probe in a time-frame of length associated with the predetermined time-frame during an operation other than at the start of the extrusion process". Similiarly, the amendment to claim 2 adds the limitation "the operation other than at the start of the extrusion process" wherein "the thickness-measuring probe is moved during the predetermined time-frame at the start of the extrusion process along the surface of the extruded film at a faster rate than a rate associated with the operation other than at the start of the extrusion process, and determines for each time unit the measured values from the larger number of measuring cycles than the number of measuring cycles used in the operation other than at the start of the extrusion process". The addition of "an operation other than at the start of the extrusion process" was not previously included in Applicant's claims. The addition of "an operation other than at the start of the extrusion process" would require further search and consideration.

Continuation of 11. The amendment submitted raises new issues which require further consideration and search. Specifically, the "operation other than at the start of the extrusion process" added in the amended claims was not presented in prior versions of the claims, and must be given further consideration before any judgement can be made regarding patentability. Note that a thorough examination based on the newly added limitations has not been completed, but based on the issues detailed previously, the amended claims are not held in condition for allowance.